

The Astley Cooper School Centre Policy  
for A/AS Levels, GCSEs and vocational  
qualification for summer 2021



## Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades – summer 2021:

### The Astley Cooper School

#### Statement of intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

#### **Statement of Intent**

This section provides details of the purpose of this document, as appropriate to our centre:

*The purpose of this policy is:*

- *To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.*
- *To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.*
- *To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.*
- *To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.*
- *To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.*
- *To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.*
- *To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.*
- *To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.*
- *To ensure the process for communicating relevant information is clear and transparent to candidates and their parents/ carers.*

## Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

### Roles and Responsibilities

This section gives details of the roles and responsibilities within our centre:

#### **Head of Centre**

- *Our Head of Centre, Edward Gaynor, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.*
- *Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for The Astley Cooper School as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.*
- *Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.*
- *Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.*
- *Our Head of Centre will ensure a process for hearing and resolving appeals is in place as part of the post results service offered by the school.*

#### **Senior Leadership Team**

*Our Senior Leadership Team will:*

- *Provide training and support to our other staff.*
- *Support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.*
- *Ensure an effective approach within and across departments.*
- *Be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.*
- *Communicate with students and parents/ carers about the process to be applied and the evidence to be considered.*

#### **Subject Leaders**

*Our Subject Leaders will:*

- *Provide training and support to their staff.*
- *Support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.*
- *Ensure an effective approach within the departments.*
- *Be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.*
- *Produce an assessment record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the weighting given to it and the reasons for the weighting. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.*
- *Ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.*

- *Ensure that professional judgement from teachers within the department is considered in the process of deciding on grades.*
- *Ensure that judgements about grades are applied consistently within the department.*
- *Ensure that the teacher assessed grade assigned to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.*
- *Ensure that a Subject Leader checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.*

### **Teachers**

*Our teachers will:*

- *Ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and in line with the department's agreed assessment plan.*
- *Assess work using agreed mark schemes and participate in quality assurance within the department.*
- *Exercise appropriate professional judgement in contributing to decisions about grades based on what each student have been taught and what they have been assessed on.*
- *Ensure that the teacher assessed grades assigned to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.*
- *Deliver marked evidence to the examinations officer for secure storage after the completion of judgement on student grades.*
- *Be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify the grades awarded to each student.*

### **SENDCo**

*Our SENDCo, Lindsay Lakhani, will:*

- *Arrange for SEND support for appropriate students when completing assessments under different levels of control.*
- *Consider the assessment evidence for students with SEND and contribute to decisions about whether adjustments need to be made in their cases.*

### **Examinations Officer**

*Our Examinations Officer, Deb Cansick, will:*

- *Securely store marked evidence from departments, ready to be made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.*
- *Be responsible for the administration of the final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.*

## Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

### Training

This section provides details of the approach our centre will take to *training, support and guidance in determining teacher assessed grades this year*

- *At least one member of each department will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students and will disseminate it to colleagues.*
- *Teachers will engage fully with training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.*
- *We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.*
- *We will put in place internal moderation to ensure that NQT and teachers less familiar with assessment apply the same standards as other teachers.*

## Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: *Guidance on grading for teachers*.

### A. Use of evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

- *Decisions about evidence to be used will be in accordance with the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.*
- *Where we have it in our possession, all candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.*
- *We will use student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.*
- *We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed, for qualifications in which it plays a role.*
- *We will use substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning), when decided by the Subject Leader if appropriate.*
- *We will use internal tests taken by pupils.*
- *We will use assessments taken over the course of study, when decided by the Subject Leader.*
- *We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as Music, Drama, Dance, Hospitality and Catering and PE.*

*We provide further detail in the following areas:*

#### *Centre created Assessment Materials*

- *We will use past papers, or similar material such as practice or sample papers, as the basis of our centre devised assessment materials.*
- *We will use centre devised assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed, when selected by the Subject Leader.*
- *We will use centre devised assessment materials to give students an opportunity to demonstrate their mastery of the content at the end of the course of study, when selected by the Subject Leader.*
- *We will use centre devised assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete, when selected by the Subject Leader.*
- *We will combine and/or remove elements of questions when the Subject Leader decides that this is the best way to provide a valid assessment of what has been taught.*

*Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:*

- *We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.*
- *We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.*
- *We will consider when the evidence was produced in the course of study.*
- *We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.*
- *We will consider whether the evidence was internally moderated after the original assessment.*
- *We will consider whether students were aware that the evidence was likely to count towards the grade.*
- *We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school.*
- *We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.*

## Determining teacher assessed grades

*This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.*

### **Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence**

We give details here of our centre's approach to awarding teacher assessed grades.

- *Our assessment evidence will be weighted consistently across the cohort for each qualification (unless adjustments have been made for individual students) and will be used to determine the final grade for each candidate.*
- *Subject Leaders and teachers will apply grade boundaries to the final grade with reference to the grade descriptors and evidence produced by each candidate.*
- *Grades awarded will be based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.*
- *Our Subject Leaders will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort explaining how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared.*

## Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

### *Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration*

#### **Internal quality assurance**

This section gives details of our approach to internal standardisation, within and across subject departments.

- *We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.*
- *We will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process across all grades.*
- *We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:*
  - *Arriving at teacher assessed grades*
  - *Marking of evidence*
  - *Reaching a holistic grading decision*
  - *Applying the use of grading support and documentation*
- *We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.*
- *Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).*
- *Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).*
- *Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre and/or external experts as well.*
- *This will be:*
  - 1. Design and Technology*
  - 2. Food Studies*
  - 3. Modern Foreign Language*
  - 4. Media*
- *In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.*

## Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

### Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

This section gives details of our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- *We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).*
- *We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.*
- *We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.*
- *We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.*
- *We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.*

*This section gives details of the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.*

- *We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A\*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.*
- *We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.*

*This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.*

- *We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.*

## Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

### Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

This section gives details of our approach to access arrangements and mitigating circumstances (special consideration).

- *Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.*
- *Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will consider if the student has been disadvantaged and will consider removing that assessment from the basket of evidence and reweight the remaining evidence.*
- *Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will consider removing the affected evidence from the portfolio and reweighting the remaining evidence to ensure a fair and valid grade. We may require further evidence (e.g. medical evidence) to support requests to remove affected evidence.*
- *Where illness or other personal circumstances have affected the attendance of the students in school in relation to the collection of evidence, we will consider using other evidence collected throughout the course to ensure a fair and valid grade.*
- *We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.*
- *To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, decisions will be made by members of the Senior Leadership Team with regard to the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#)*

## Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

**B. Addressing Disruption/Differentiated Lost Learning (DLL)**

This section gives details of our approach to address disruption or differentiated lost teaching.

- *Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.*
- *When disruption means that a student has been unable to complete an evidenced assessment, or that a student's performance has been detrimentally affected, we will remove the assessment from the evidence portfolio and reweight the other assessments to ensure a fair and valid grade. We may require further evidence (e.g. medical evidence) to support requests to remove assessments.*

## Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

### Objectivity

This section gives a summary of the arrangements in place within our centre in relation to objectivity.

*Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.*

*Senior Leaders, Subject Leader and Centre will consider:*

- *Sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);*
- *how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias; and*
- *bias in teacher assessed grades.*

*To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:*

- *Unconscious bias can skew judgements;*
- *the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;*
- *teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;*
- *unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed.*
- *Score from assessments will be used with consistent weightings across the cohort (unless adjustments have been made in individual cases) to maximise objectivity. Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.*

## Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

### **C. Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data**

This section outlines our approach to recording decisions and retaining evidence and data.

- *We will ensure that teachers and Subject Leaders maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.*
- *We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.*
- *We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.*
- *We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.*
- *We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.*
- *We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).*
- *We have ensured all school computers have anti-virus software which conducts real time scans for all files including uploaded content. This is kept up to date.*
- *We have ensured access to our google drives and any local data requires staff authentication through passwords for the protection of data and information.*
- *Our school systems are backed up with at least two sources, so that restoration can be made in case of any file corruption. This will mitigate against cyber attacks or any unforeseen incidents relating to the loss of digital information.*

## Authenticating evidence

### **D. Authenticating evidence**

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- *Robust mechanisms, which will include use of evidence produced under secure conditions where possible, retention of evidence within the centre to avoid tampering where possible, and investigation when teachers are suspicious that work may not be authentic, will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.*
- *It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity.*

## Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

### Confidentiality

*This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.*

#### **A. Confidentiality**

*This section details the measures in place in our centre to maintain the confidentiality of grades, while sharing information regarding the range of evidence on which the grades will be based.*

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.*
- *All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.*
- *Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.*

### Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

#### **B. Malpractice**

*This section details the measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements.*

- *Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.*
- *All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.*
- *All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:*
  - *breaches of internal security;*
  - *deception;*
  - *improper assistance to students;*
  - *failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;*
  - *over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;*

- *allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;*
- *centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;*
- *failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and*
- *failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.*
- *The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.*

### *Conflicts of Interest*

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

#### **C. Conflicts of Interest**

*This section details our approach to addressing conflicts of interest, and how we will respond to such allegations.*

- *To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.*
- *Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).*
- *We will also carefully consider the need if to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.*

## External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

### A. External Quality Assurance

*This section outlines the arrangements we have in place to ensure the relevant documentation and assessment evidence can be provided in a timely manner for the purposes of External Quality Assurance sampling, and that staff can be made available to respond to enquiries.*

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.*
- *All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.*
- *Where we have it in our possession, all student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.*
- *Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.*
- *All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.*
- *Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.*
- *Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.*

## Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

### **A. Results**

*This section details our approach to the issue of results to students and the provision of advice and guidance.*

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.*
- *Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.*
- *Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.*
- *Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).*
- *Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.*
- *Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days.*

## Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

### A. Appeals

*This section details our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, and subsequent appeals to awarding organisations.*

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.*
- *Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.*
- *All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.*
- *Leaners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.*
- *Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.*
- *Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.*
- *Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.*